

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 04 2004

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE
(THIS COVER PAGE + 2 PAGES)

Today's Date: November 4, 2004

To: Examiner T. Lele, Art Unit: 2684

FAX: (703) 872-9306

From: Frederick E. Cooperrider #36,769
McGinn&Gibb, PLLC
Ph: (703) 761-2377

In re Application of

Masashi Koshino

Serial No.: '09/725,294

Group Art Unit: 2684

Filed: November 29, 2000


Examiner: Lele, T.

For: RADIO COMMUNICATION TERMINAL SYSTEM AUTOMATIC FUNCTION
SETTING METHOD USED IN SAME

Contents: Statement of Substance of Interview

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I certify that I transmitted via facsimile to (703) 872-9306 this Statement of Substance of Interview to Examiner T. Lele on November 4, 2004.



Frederick E. Cooperrider
Reg. No. 36,769

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 04 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Masashi Koshino

Serial No.: 09/725,294

Group Art Unit: 2684

Filed: November 29, 2000

Examiner: Lele, T.

**For: RADIO COMMUNICATION TERMINAL SYSTEM AUTOMATIC FUNCTION
SETTING METHOD USED IN SAME**

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

In response to the requirement in 37 C.F.R. §1.2, 37 C.F.R. §1.133, and MPEP §713.04, that Applicant provides a statement of the substance of an interview, Applicant hereby submits the following summary.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges Examiner Lele for taking time from his busy schedule to conduct a personal interview on October 26, 2004, for the above-referenced Application. The interview was courteous and professional, and it is believed by Applicant's representative that prosecution has been advanced because of this interview.

Concerning the substance of the interview, Applicant's representative presented a summary of the present invention as described, for example, by claim 1. The focus of the discussion concerned the aspect of the present invention in which a comparison means provides the capability to determine whether or not the number and/or reception level from base stations change frequently. This feature provides the capability underlying the high speed travel mode of dependent claims 12-18.

Discussion then turned to whether a new issue was raised by the revision to the

Serial No. 09/725,294
Docket No. 11P338920 (N199)
Interview Summary

2

independent claims. Applicant's representative stated a position that no new issue is raised, since this feature had been defined in earlier-presented dependent claims.

The Examiner agreed to consider the discussion of the interview in his evaluation of the After-Final Amendment filed on September 24, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 11/04/04

Frederick E. Cooperrider (703) 761-2377
(Reg. No. 36,769)

McGinn&Gibb, PLLC
Intellectual Property Law
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200
Vienna, VA 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254